


2.2 Formation of Single Bonds

Reading Recommendations

- Clayden, Greeves, Warren, *Organic Chemistry*, Oxford University Press, 2nd ed., **2012**, pp 88–106.
- Jamart, Bodiguel, Brosse, *Les cours de Paul Arnaud - Cours de chimie organique*, Dunod, 19th ed., **2015**, pp 79–86.


Formation of Single Bonds (Valence Bond Model)

- according to valence bond model, covalent bonds form by pairing electrons of atomic orbitals



- in-phase combination of atomic or hybrid orbitals
- single bonds are σ -bonds (rotational symmetry) between sp^3 , sp^2 , sp , or s orbitals
- due to rotational symmetry of the σ -orbital, rotation is free without breaking the bond


Interaction of Atomic Orbitals upon Approach



- upon decreasing the interatomic distance, atomic orbitals interact and split energetically
- number of orbitals conserved, LCAO with one “in phase” and one “out of phase”
- energy in fact not quite conserved, overall raise of both levels due to electrostatic repulsion

Molecular Orbital Energy Diagrams


- schematic molecular orbital energy diagram for a symmetric diatomic molecule (such as H₂)



- energy splitting increases with atomic orbital overlap
- number of orbitals preserved but sum of orbital energies (electron density) increases
- bond energy is stabilization of filled bonding orbital σ (due to electron delocalization)
- antibonding orbital σ^* is energetically destabilized but remains empty

Molecular Orbital View of the Covalent Bond in Multiatom Molecules


- simplified and schematic molecular orbital energy diagram of the methane molecule



- linear combination of atomic orbitals may start from LCAO hybrid orbitals
- interactions between orbitals or orbital sets of matching symmetry

Molecular Orbital View of the Covalent Bond in Multiatom Molecules

- simplified and schematic molecular orbital energy diagram of the methane molecule




- a more correct approach would start from atomic orbitals instead of hybrid orbitals
- result will be (almost) the same due to “mixing” of orbitals
- VSEPR model and hybridization are useful and valid simplifications

Polarization of Covalent Bonds


unpolarized σ -bond



polarized σ -bond




ionic bond



increasing electronegativity (of X and Y)


IA	IIA	IB	IIB	IIIA	IVA	VA	VIA	VIIA
H 2.1								
Li 1.0	Be 1.5			B 2.0	C 2.5	N 3.0	O 3.5	F 4.0
Na 0.9	Mg 1.2			Al 1.5	Si 1.8	P 2.1	S 2.5	Cl 3.0
K 0.8	Ca 1.0							Br 2.8
								I 2.5

negative inductive Effect ($-I$ effect)



Molecular Orbital Representation of Polarized Bonds

- simplified and schematic molecular orbital diagrams of the C–C and the C–F bond



- atomic orbitals of more electronegative atoms lower in energy (higher electron affinity)
- increasing energy difference between bonding partners implies less electronic interaction
- bonding MO closer in energy to, “look more like” AO from more electronegative element
- antibonding MO closer in energy to, “look more like” AO from less electronegative element

Learning Outcomes

- covalent bond can be described by linear combination of atomic orbitals
- interactions only between orbitals or orbital sets of matching symmetry
- number of orbitals preserved but sum of all orbital energies increases
- bond energy is stabilization of bonding orbital σ (electron delocalization)
- antibonding orbital σ^* energetically destabilized but remains empty